No. 8400073

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

Whereas, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT (7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'9441'

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington this 26th day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-five.

Attest

Kenneth B. Ev...
Commissioner
Plant Variety Protection Office
Agricultural Marketing Service

John R Block
Secretary of Agriculture

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK, POULTRY, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION

APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE

INSTRUCTIONS: *See Reverse.*

FORM APPROVED
OMB NO. 40-R3822

No certificate for plant variety protection may be issued unless a completed application form has been received (5 U.S.C. 553).

1a. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OF VARIETY	1b. VARIETY NAME	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
	9441	PV NUMBER 8400073
2. KIND NAME: Soybean	3. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME: Glycine max	FILING DATE 3-20-84 / TIME 2:30 X A.M. P.M.
4. FAMILY NAME (BOTANICAL): Leguminosae	5. DATE OF DETERMINATION: October, 1978; January, 1982 (increase)	FEE RECEIVED $ 1,800 + $ 200 / DATE 3/20/84, 3/18/85
6. NAME OF APPLICANT(S): Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.	7. ADDRESS *(Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)*: Capital Square, 400 Locust Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50309	8. TELEPHONE AREA CODE AND NUMBER: (319)277-1733
9. IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A PERSON, FORM OF ORGANIZATION: *(Corporation, partnership, association, etc.)*: Corporation	10. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE AND DATE OF INCORPORATION: Iowa	11. DATE OF INCORPORATION: 1926

12. NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS:

Clark W. Jennings
Box 854
Cedar Falls, Iowa 50613

Dale L. Porter (copy)
Capital Square - 400 Locust St.
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED:

- [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety *(See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.)*
- [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.
- [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Description of the Variety *(Request form from Plant Variety Protection Office.)*
- [ ] 13D. Exhibit D, Additional Description of the Variety.

14a. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? *(See Section 83(a). (If "Yes," answer 14B and 14C below.)* [ ] YES [X] NO

14b. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	14c. IF "YES," TO 14B, HOW MANY GENERATIONS OF PRODUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
[ ] YES [X] NO	[ ] FOUNDATION [ ] REGISTERED [ ] CERTIFIED

15a. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THIS VARIETY IN OTHER COUNTRIES? [ ] YES [X] NO *(If "Yes," give name of countries and dates.)*

15b. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED THIS VARIETY IN OTHER COUNTRIES? [ ] YES [X] NO *(If "Yes," give name of countries and dates.)*

16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) AGREE TO THE PUBLICATION OF HIS/HER (THEIR) NAME(S) AND ADDRESS IN THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL? [X] YES [ ] NO

17. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seed of this variety will be furnished with the application and will be replenished upon request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable.

The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexually reproduced novel plant variety, and believe(s) that the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Variety Act.

Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protection and result in penalties.

14 March 1984 — (DATE)

Clark Jennings — (SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT)

(DATE)

(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT)

FORM GR-470 (1-78)

8400073

Attachment: 9441 Soybean (March, 1984)

Exhibit A: Variety 9441 evolved from a cross of Williams X Essex. It is an F_6-derived variety which was advanced to the F_6 generation by modified single-seed descent. The F_7 progeny row of 9441 was grown in Illinois during the summer of 1978. Subsequently, 9441 has undergone five years of extensive testing and purification, and has been observed by the breeder to be uniform and stable for all plant traits from generation to generation, with no evidence of variants.

Seed hila of variety 9441 are light black in color, and under certain environmental conditions may appear imperfect black or gray in color. When seeds of these types are planted, they produce plants having seeds with light black hila color.

14 acres of 9441 (breeders seed) were grown in 1982. 453 acres of parent seedstock (foundation seed equivalent) were grown in 1983.

Exhibit B: Variety 9441 is most similar to variety 3981. However, 9441 is significantly later than 3981 by 3 days (see Table 1).

Table 1. Paired Comparison (Days to Maturity) 1981-83

YR/EXP/LOC#	9441(X_1)	3981(X_2)	(X_1-X_2)	(X_1-X_2)^2
81/SJA4/31	133	128	5	25
81/SJA4/39	130	126	4	16
81/SJA4/40	119	117	2	4
81/UNA4/41	109	106	3	9
81/UNA4/45	106	105	1	1
82/SJA4/39	139	132	7	49
82/SJA4/40	131	126	5	25
82/NPA4/51	132	130	2	4
82/UNA4/41	125	120	5	25
82/UNA4/67	120	117	3	9
82/GRA4/70	123	120	3	9
83/NPA4/51	115	110	5	25
83/SJA4/39	137	132	5	25
83/SJA4/40	120	117	3	9
83/UNA4/41	108	104	4	16
83/UNA4/65	99	98	1	1
83/GRA4/70	101	98	3	9
83/SJV4/39	136	133	3	9
83/SJV4/40	121	118	3	9
83/SJV4/41	109	102	7	49
Total	2,413	2,339	74	328
$\bar{X}$	120.7	117.0	3.7	

$n = 20$

$$s_{\bar{d}} = \sqrt{\frac{328 - [(74)^2/20]}{20(19)}} = 0.143$$

$$t_{(.05)} = \frac{\bar{d}}{s_{\bar{d}}} = \frac{120.7 - 117.0}{0.143} = 25.87 \text{ ** for 19 df}$$

Attachment: 9441 Soybean (October, 1984)

Exhibit B (Addendum): Variety 9441 is also similar to varieties 9471, 4280, and A4268.

Variety 9441 is significantly earlier maturing than 9471 by more than 3 days (see Table 2).

Variety 9441 is significantly later maturing than 4280 by more than 2 days (see Table 3), and it is also significantly shorter in plant height than 4280 by more than 3 inches (see Table 4).

Variety 9441 is also similar to variety A4268. However, the canopy of 9441 consistently exhibits a more yellow-green hue than A4268 at the R4 (full pod) stage of growth. (Stages of Soybean Development by Walter R. Fehr and Charles E. Caviness; Special Report 80; Iowa State University; Ames, Iowa; March, 1977).

At the R4 stage of growth, the upper surface of the center leaflet of the third trifoliolate leaf down from the terminal bud of 9441 has a hue: value/chroma color of 5 GY:4/4, whereas the same leaflet of A4268 exhibits a color of 7.5GY:4/4. (Colors determined using Munsell Color Charts for Plant Tissues, 1977 revised edition.)

This color difference is consistent and is easily distinguishable when both varieties are grown side by side in any location where the varieties are adapted.

8400073

TABLE 2. Paired Comparison (Days to Maturity) 1981-1983

YR/EXP/LOC#	9471(X_1)	9441(X_2)	(X_1-X_2)	(X_1-X_2)^2
81/SJA4/31	136	133	3	9
81/SJA4/40	121	119	2	4
81/UNA4/41	112	109	3	9
81/UNA4/45	113	108	5	25
81/UNA4/66	107	106	1	1
81/UNA4/67	114	111	3	9
81/GRA4/70	108	104	4	16
82/SJA4/31	118	112	6	36
82/SJA4/39	142	138	4	16
82/SJA4/40	136	131	5	25
82/UNA4/41	130	125	5	25
82/UNA4/65	121	118	3	9
82/UNA4/67	124	121	3	9
82/NPA4/51	135	132	3	9
82/SJA4B2/39	141	137	4	16
82/SJA4B2/40	137	132	5	25
82/SJA4B2/41	131	125	6	36
82/SJA4B2/62	136	130	6	36
82/SJV4/39	142	137	5	25
82/SJV4/40	138	132	6	36
82/SJV4/41	131	127	4	16
83/SJA4/31	123	113	10	100
83/SJA4/39	138	137	1	1
83/SJA4/40	122	120	2	4
83/UNA4/41	110	108	2	4
83/UNA4/65	100	99	1	1
83/NPA4/50	118	115	3	9
83/SJA4B2/39	141	136	5	25
83/SJA4B2/41	112	107	5	25
83/SJV4/39	139	136	3	9
83/SJV4/40	123	121	2	4
83/SJV4/41	110	109	1	1
Σ	4009	3888	121	575
$\bar{X}$	125.3	121.5	3.8	

$$s_{\bar{d}} = \sqrt{\frac{575 - [(121)^2/32]}{32(31)}} = 0.344$$

$$t_{(.05)} = \frac{\bar{d}}{s_{\bar{d}}} = \frac{3.8}{0.344} = 11.05 \text{ ** for 31 df}$$

TABLE 3. Paired Comparison (Days to Maturity) 1981-1983

YR/EXP/LOC#	9441(X_1)	4280(X_2)	(X_1-X_2)	(X_1-X_2)^2
81/SJA4/31	133	129	4	16
81/SJA4/39	130	125	5	25
81/SJA4/40	119	117	2	4
81/SJA4/41	109	108	1	1
81/SJA4/45	108	105	3	9
81/SJA4/67	111	110	1	1
81/SJA4B2/39	126	123	3	9
81/SJA4B2/40	119	117	2	4
81/SJA4B2/62	137	135	2	4
82/SJA4/39	138	135	3	9
82/SJA4/40	131	130	1	1
82/UNA4/41	125	122	3	9
82/GRA4/70	123	121	2	4
82/NPA4/51	132	130	2	4
82/SJA4B2/39	137	135	2	4
82/SJA4B2/40	132	130	2	4
82/SJA4B2/41	125	121	4	16
82/SJA4B2/62	130	127	3	9
82/SJV4/39	137	134	3	9
82/SJV4/40	132	130	2	4
82/SJV4/41	127	122	5	25
83/SJV4/39	136	133	3	9
83/SJV4/40	121	119	2	4
83/SJV4/41	109	105	4	16
Σ	3027	2963	62	200
$\bar{X}$	126.1	123.5	2.6	

$$s_{\bar{d}} = \sqrt{\frac{200 - [(62)^2/23]}{24(23)}} = 0.244$$

$$t_{(.05)} = \frac{\bar{d}}{s_{\bar{d}}} = \frac{2.6}{.244} = 10.65 \text{ ** for 23 df}$$

TABLE 4. Paired Comparison (Plant Height in Inches) 1981-83

YR/EXP/LOC#	4280(X_1)	9441(X_2)	(X_1-X_2)	(X_1-X_2)^2
81/SJA4/31	42.7	38.7	4.0	16.0
81/SJA4/39	47.0	43.3	3.7	13.7
81/SJA4/40	44.0	38.0	6.0	36.0
81/SJA4/51	38.0	35.0	3.0	9.0
81/UNA4/41	36.0	32.0	4.0	16.0
81/UNA4/45	32.0	29.0	3.0	9.0
81/UNA4/64	27.7	25.0	2.7	7.3
81/UNA4/66	35.3	32.3	3.0	9.0
81/UNA4/67	38.0	35.3	2.7	7.3
81/GRA4/70	44.0	38.7	5.3	28.1
81/SJA4B2/39	45.0	40.0	5.0	25.0
81/SJA4B2/40	39.0	37.0	2.0	4.0
81/SJA4B2/41	37.0	32.0	5.0	25.0
81/SJA4B2/62	32.0	18.0	14.0	196.0
82/SJA4/39	49.0	43.0	6.0	36.0
82/SJA4/40	43.0	42.0	1.0	1.0
82/UNA4/41	37.0	35.0	2.0	4.0
82/UNA4/45	45.0	42.0	3.0	9.0
82/UNA4/65	39.3	34.7	4.6	21.2
82/UNA4/66	46.7	44.3	2.4	5.8
82/UNA4/67	40.0	36.3	3.7	13.7
82/UNA4/69	44.3	42.7	1.6	2.6
82/NPA4/51	47.0	42.0	5.0	25.0
82/GRA4/70	41.3	37.7	3.6	13.0
83/SJV4/39	43.5	41.5	2.0	4.0
83/SJV4/40	42.0	38.0	4.0	16.0
83/SJV4/41	34.0	32.0	2.0	4.0
Σ	1089.8	985.5	102.9	556.7
$\bar{X}$	38.9	35.2	3.7	

$$s_{\bar{d}} = \sqrt{\frac{556.7 - [(102.9)^2/27]}{27(26)}} = 0.484$$

$$t_{(.05)} = \frac{\bar{d}}{s_{\bar{d}}} = \frac{38.9 - 35.2}{0.484} = 7.64 \text{ ** for 26 df}$$

FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C
(Soybean)

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY
SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.*)*

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.		9441

ADDRESS *(Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)*
Capital Square
400 Locust Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
PVPO NUMBER
8400073

Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the variety in the features described below. When the number of significant digits in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided, place a zero in the first box when number is 9 or less (e.g., [0][9]).

1. SEED SHAPE:

[1]



1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2)
2 = Spherical Flattened (L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2)
3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)
4 = Elongate Flattened (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)

2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)

[1] 1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown 4 = Black 5 = Other *(Specify)* _____

3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)

[1] 1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Nebsoy'; 'Gasoy 17')

4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)

[1][6] Grams per 100 seeds

5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)

[6] 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown 4 = Gray 5 = Imperfect Black 6 = Black 7 = Other *(Specify)* _____

6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)

[1] 1 = Yellow 2 = Green

7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:

[ ] 1 = Low 2 = High

8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:

[ ] 1 = Type A ($SP1^a$) 2 = Type B ($SP1^b$)

9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:

[2] 1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green with bronze band below cotyledons ('Woodworth'; 'Tracy')
3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71')
4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'; 'Coker Hampton 266A')

10. LEAFLET SHAPE:

[2] 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate 4 = Other *(Specify)* _____

8

11. LEAFLET SIZE:

[2] 1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')

12. LEAF COLOR:

[3] 1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')

13. FLOWER COLOR:

[1] 1 = White 2 = Purple 3 = White with purple throat

14. POD COLOR:

[1] 1 = Tan 2 = Brown 3 = Black

15. PLANT PUBESCENCE COLOR:

[2] 1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)

16. PLANT TYPES:

[2] 1 = Slender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')

17. PLANT HABIT:

[3] 1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') 3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pelican')

18. MATURITY GROUP:

[0][7] 1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 4 = I 5 = II 6 = III 7 = IV 8 = V 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VIII 12 = IX 13 = X

19. DISEASE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)

BACTERIAL DISEASES:

[2] Bacterial Pustule *(Xanthomonas phaseoli* var. *sojensis)*

[0] Bacterial Blight *(Pseudomonas glycinea)*

[2] Wildfire *(Pseudomonas tabaci)*

FUNGAL DISEASES:

[0] Brown Spot *(Septoria glycines)*

Frogeye Leaf Spot *(Cercospora sojina)*

[0] Race 1 [0] Race 2 [0] Race 3 [0] Race 4 [0] Race 5 [ ] Other *(Specify)* _____

[0] Target Spot *(Corynespora cassiicola)*

[0] Downy Mildew *(Peronospora trifoliorum* var. *manshurica)*

[0] Powdery Mildew *(Microsphaera diffusa)*

[0] Brown Stem Rot *(Cephalosporium gregatum)*

[0] Stem Canker *(Diaporthe phaseolorum* var. *caulivora)*

9

19. DISEASE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) (Continued)

FUNGAL DISEASES: (Continued)

[0] Pod and Stem Blight *(Diaporthe phaseolorum* var; *sojae)*

[0] Purple Seed Stain *(Cercospora kikuchii)*

[0] Rhizoctonia Root Rot *(Rhizoctonia solani)*

Phytophthora Rot *(Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae)*

[1] Race 1 [1] Race 2 [0] Race 3 [0] Race 4 [0] Race 5 [0] Race 6 [0] Race 7

[0] Race 8 [0] Race 9 [ ] Other *(Specify)* _____

VIRAL DISEASES:

[0] Bud Blight (Tobacco Ringspot Virus)

[0] Yellow Mosaic (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)

[0] Cowpea Mosaic (Cowpea Chlorotic Virus)

[0] Pod Mottle (Bean Pod Mottle Virus)

[0] Seed Mottle (Soybean Mosaic Virus)

NEMATODE DISEASES:

Soybean Cyst Nematode *(Heterodera glycines)*

[0] Race 1 [0] Race 2 [0] Race 3 [0] Race 4 [0] Other *(Specify)* _____

[0] Lance Nematode *(Hoplolaimus Colombus)*

[0] Southern Root Knot Nematode *(Meloidogyne incognita)*

[0] Northern Root Knot Nematode *(Meloidogyne Hapla)*

[0] Peanut Root Knot Nematode *(Meloidogyne arenaria)*

[0] Reniform Nematode *(Rotylenchulus reniformis)*

[ ] OTHER DISEASE NOT ON FORM *(Specify):* _____

20. PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)

[1] Iron Chlorosis on Calcareous Soil

[ ] Other *(Specify)* _____

21. INSECT REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)

[0] Mexican Bean Beetle *(Epilachna varivestis)*

[0] Potato Leaf Hopper *(Empoasca fabae)*

[ ] Other *(Specify)* _____

22. INDICATE WHICH VARIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT SUBMITTED.

CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant Shape	3981	Seed Coat Luster	3981
Leaf Shape	3981	Seed Size	A4268
Leaf Color	3981	Seed Shape	3981
Leaf Size	3981	Seedling Pigmentation	3981

10

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS MATURITY	PLANT LODGING SCORE	CM PLANT HEIGHT	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE G/100 SEEDS	NO. SEEDS/ POD
				CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil		
9441 Submitted	120	1.6	99					16	
3981 Name of Similar Variety	117	1.7	100					19	

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A_2 in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.